Dec 11, 1981

Dear Harold—

Thank you for your note. I was glad to hear from you.

There has been a lot of feed back from normally sommulent Johns Hopkins student - Many people saw the article (They printed 7000) and I have been approached by many students. So I feel my speech ultimately had a major effect on the campus in that educated thousands. This happens wherever I go, pretty much, with newspaper stories, etc.

I am concerned because on several occasions you have pointed out "errors" in my work, which have as their source your work, after. Your letter says I am wrong in saying "2.5 cm from the occipital protuberance" (entry) Your letter says it was 4 cm. I refer you to p 515 of Postmortem where Humes states "2.5 cm laterally to the right and slightly above..."

This is repeated everywhere in the evidence. Thus in 7 HSAC 246 Humes says it was slightly below - in the hairline — "... maybe a cm. to the right and maybe 2 cm below the midpoint of the external occip. protub." He forgot what he wrote in 1963 and said probably what he actually saw.

See also Wiffer.

On another occasion you forgot that Clint Hill said that Jackie was reaching for something (quote enclosed) Two years ago I had a long discussion with Tom

You identify the skull drawing as Fink's to me when they are also identified as Boswell's (Wilber 210)
Postmortem p 311

Joyce, a former associate of JFK, who was handling Ted K's campaign in NYC. He had me talk to the SS at length about where a plot against Ted Kennedy might come from. He told me Jackie was retrieving the back of the head, and he said that the back of the head was blown out. But I didn't feel that this was all that solid.

I am being systematically isolated over the years, just as the SUN stranded me in Dallas in July of 1964, and the AP story appears to set you and I against each other, making it appear that we are at odds.

I was all but begged by the JHU newspaper to present a "theory" or opinion, name names etc. I felt I owed it to students to tell them what I thought. I know that it jeopardizes our research, but we don't have that much more time on this earth. We have to educate young people.

You are trying to save me from your own errors. Your writing is filled with constant attacks and accusations against the real sources of these plots.

I'd like to handle my work on the headwound as a straight news item but don't quite know how.

I do hope you will comment, as I may have to carry the ball and I need managers. Sincerely,

Do you have any extra indexes (Meagher, etc). Urgent. Harry

The Groden inhancement of the Zapruder film clearly shows the President being rocketed backwards by this frontal shot, and the back of his head blowing out. The film in subsequent frames shows that the shape of the President's head has changed and is elongated, flatened after the explosion, with the back of the head stretched out and there is an apparent large ring where the hole in the back of the head is, beneath a shock of hair. Part of his skull and brains were blown backwards and to the left onto a motorcyle policeman, and to the grass beside the road, as we shall discuss later.[34]

Jaqueline Kennedy crawled out of the car and onto the trunk of the slowly moving car, it is said to retrieve part of the head. A Secret Serviceman, ordered not move,[34A] ran forward, jumped on the car and pushed her back to her seat. "Just about as I reached it,; Hill said, as the car began to accelerate when it was all over, "There was another sound, which was different than the first sound. I think I described it in my statement as though someone was shooting a revolver into a hard object-- it seemed to have some type of an echo"..." the second noise that I had heard had removed a portion of the President's head, and he had slumped noticeably to his left, Mrs. Kennedy had jumped up from the seat and was, it appeared to me, reaching for something coming off the right rear bumper of the car, the right rear tail, when she noticed that I was trying to climb on the car, she turned towards me and I grabbed her and put her back in the back seat, crawled up on top of the back seat and lay there. I noticed a portion of the President's head on the right rear side was missing and he was bleeding profusely. Part of his brain was gone."[34] Bones were later retrieved from the street and grass. One of these known as the Harper fragment, was identified in Dallas as being from the back of the head, as occipital bone.[35]

Harrison Edward Livingstone
Box 7149
Baltimore, MD 21218

P.S. Dr. Dulany and others have now told me how Jenkens picked up the ~~bra~~ head and showed them the back of it was gone.